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1 INTRODUCTION

MEPSO is proposing to develop a 400kV overhead power transmission line (OHL) across the South Western Region of Macedonia, from Bitola and connecting to the Albanian border. The project will run through the area of Macedonia shown below:

Figure 1: Project Region

This Non-Technical Summary (NTS) provides a description of the project and describes the potential benefits and impacts associated with its construction and operation. It also describes how these will be mitigated and managed through all phases of the project. In addition, it also provides a summary of the public consultation activities and the approach to future stakeholder engagement.

Further, this NTS provides a summary of some updates to the new project proposals since the issue of the original ESIA in January 2013 and the related public consultation sessions conducted in April and May 2014.

2 WHAT DOES THIS PROJECT INCLUDE?

The main elements of the proposed project include approximately 100km of 400 kV overhead power line, as well as upgrading of a current substation location at Bitola (using a free transmission bay within a current substation). A new 400kV to 110kV substation will also be required in the area to the north of Lake Ohrid. This will assist with the off take of electricity into the regional 110kV power network to allow improved power supply to the local area in the south - west region from the transmission network of Macedonia.

The project is currently at feasibility stage and detailed engineering design has not yet commenced. Therefore, the proposed routing of the transmission line is currently a corridor which is set at 500 metres wide. At the detailed design stage, a preferential specific routing will be selected, as well as specific tower locations. An updated Non Technical Summary will be provided when the final proposed actual line routing in the corridor is completed as well as proactive consultation with any affected parties as part of the Land Acquisition and Compensation Framework arrangements that will be implemented for this project.

The project will include steel lattice Y type towers to be installed along the route. Suspension towers will be used where the line is straight, and angle towers will be used by the conductor line needs to change direction. It is currently estimated that 250 towers will be installed along the transmission line route. The towers will vary in height according to
local conditions, but the typical height range is expected to be 20 to 40 metres. An example of these types of tower is shown below:

Figure 2: Examples of ‘Y shaped’ towers

The main elements of the project that have evolved since the publication of the original ESIA document includes:

- A new alternative proposal for the location for the siting of the 400/110 kV substation in the Ohrid Region. The new alternative proposal for the location will be in the same overall region, and within the already intended corridor, in the ESIA
- The designation of the Transboundary Prespa – Ohrid region UNESCO World Biosphere area. The OHL corridor passes through the outer ‘transition zone’ of this area, which of lower value designation status;
- Further information has been obtained in relation to a bird protected area near to Bitola, and specific locations of cultural heritage importance within the Ohrid Region UNESCO World Heritage Site.

This updated Non-Technical Summary provides further information on the above in Section 7 below.

This additional informing, alongside completion of the further ESAP items for the project will ensure that the project informing aligns with the EU level requirements for Environmental Impact Assessment of projects.
3 WHY IS THIS DEVELOPMENT REQUIRED?

The development is required in order to assist the stabilisation of power provision across the Southern Balkans and the wider regions. The project is part of an internationally important power transmission corridor running East – West from Bulgaria, Macedonia, Albania and to Italy (via a submarine cable).

To ensure completion of the network across the region, there is the need to complete the 100km section of 400kV overhead transmission line from Bitola and across the south of the Republic of Macedonia, and connecting into Albania in the Lake Ohrid region.

The development of a new 400kV /110kV substation in the region to the north of Lake Ohrid is also required, to allow improved and stabilised power provision to the south – western region of the transmission network of Macedonia.

4 WHERE WILL THE PROJECT BE LOCATED?

The route will pass from the Bitola 2 substation, across the predominantly flat areas known as Pelagonija Fields, across the northern slopes of the Baba Mountain area, passing close to the northern border of Pelister National Park, passing through the Prespa Valley to the Struga Fields area at the northern part of Lake Ohrid region, to the edge of Jablanica Mountain and then turning south to the link with the Albanian Border.

The main route outline and key features is shown below:

Figure 3: Map of the overall 400 kV line route corridor; Bitola 2 – Macedonia / Albanian Border

5 WHY HAS THIS ROUTE BEEN CHOSEN?

Overall, the route has been chosen to as far as possible, avoid the sensitive or protected areas, built up areas and settlements along the route. However, some cross of protected areas is required for all alternative corridors.

Overhead Line Route

Two overhead strategic corridors have been considered as part of the feasibility study and ESIA for the project. Both of the alternative route corridors have been designed to avoid settlements.
The first route avoided the area to the north of Lake Ohrid, and instead, heading south from the Resen area and towards the Albanian border. This route would then need to pass through the Galicia National Park in Macedonia, and then the Prespa National Park in Albania. The Galicia area is also classed as an ‘Emerald Site’ for nature conservation so has additional international protection. This route would pass through the Galicia National Park for some 11km, and through the core park area. The route would also pass through the core area of the more recently designated UNESCO Transboundary Biosphere Reserve – Ohrid / Prespa Watershed region (see section 9 below for more details).

The second route, and chosen route, again avoids settlements along the route, but does pass through the north part of the UNESCO designated Ohrid region, which now includes the Unesco Transboundary Biosphere Reserve, but only the outer ‘buffer zone’ and not the core protected region that the alternative route would impact. This route will also pass along the boundaries of the proposed Jablanica National Park area, but only marginally into the park area, adjacent to the proposed park boundary in order to avoid settlements. This is not the core interest area of the proposed Park.

Therefore, the route through the Struga region to the north of Lake Ohrid has been selected, as this provides the best option in terms of protected areas, overall sensitivities and avoiding the areas of most important conservation value.

As the project progresses into the detailed design stage, alternatives for the line routing within the overall corridor will be assessed, to ensure that impacts associated with land acquisition and current land uses will be minimized as far possible.

**Ohrid Region Substation Location**

A number of alternative locations for the Ohrid region 400/110kV substation have been considered. This is an area that there have been further developments, which is discussed in Section 7 of this NTS.

**6 WHAT IS THE BENEFIT OF THE PROJECT TO THE LOCAL PEOPLE AND THE ECONOMY?**

The project will benefit the entire Southern Balkans regions, bring more stabilised power provision and increased opportunities for power source provision into the region, as well as stimulating and improving the international energy market across the region.

Within the south-western region of the transmission network in Macedonia, additional adequate future supply will be ensured into the distribution consumers in the region, in future, bringing better stability, allowing future development of this region important for tourism in Macedonia and reducing power cut events.

More directly, up to 270 jobs will be created during the construction phase of the project. Skills will be required across the broad range of construction activities and priority would be given to the populations in the project affected area where possible. Future maintenance of the transmission lines would fall into the current maintenance responsibility of MEPSO, and it is not anticipated that a significant number of long term jobs would be created in this maintenance work.

**7 WHAT HAS CHANGED SINCE THE ORIGINAL ESIA DISCLOSURE?**

The Environmental and Social Impact Assessment for the project was produced in January 2013. Since this time, there have been a number of progressions in the project and in relation to some general development for the project.

This section provides an update on this information. Further assessments will be undertaken as part of the agreed Environmental and Social Action Plan for the project, which has been fully updated alongside this project NTS.
New alternative location of the Substation in the Ohrid Region

The ESIA considers three alternative locations for the development of the new substation in the Ohrid Region. The ESIA also considers the positive and negative aspects of all three potential locations. The ESIA then identifies a single site as the preferred location (location 3 – north west from the Livoista Village).

**Figure 4: Map – ESIA Defined Preferred Substation Location and Access Roads:**

Since the publication of the ESIA, MEPSO has identified additional new options for the 400/110kV substation location. The map below shows the area where the approximate location of the new intended site for the 400/110kV substation will be selected.

**Figure 5: Map – Selection area of the new location of the newly intended (Post ESIA) 400/110kV substation location:**
The micro location of the new 400/100 kV substation will be defined by the consultant/designer who will prepare the whole project documentation in the earlier marked area within the corridor of the 400kV transmission line. In the ESIA the location of the new 400/100 kV substations is defined as Staro selo (Old village) located 1 km north-west from the village Livoishta. Apart from this, on the selection of the micro location, we will examine several other potential locations in:

- Location Grunchoijca, near the village Livoishta,
- Location near the highway Kichevo-Ohrid, between the villages Mesheishta and Trebenishta,
- Any other location suggested by the consultant/designer,

As part of the optimisation of the micro-location of the 400/110 kV the substation, the consultant/designer will take into consideration the following characteristics:

- spatial distribution of the electrical power consumption in the region,
- dismantling of the existing and the new 110kV transmission lines that have to be connected in the 400/110 kV substation,
- avoiding agricultural land and occupied properties, as well as seeking to ensure that potential for environmental impacts are minimised as far as possible (visual impact and noise etc)
- permanent access roads, land ownership, soil structure and the expenses on revitalisation and construction of the access roads,

The environmental and social action plan for the project has been updated in regards to the new alternative substation location, to require further detailed assessments to be undertaken as an overall part of the detailed design of this part of the project. This includes:

- Full consideration of land acquisition requirements, including considering current land agricultural uses and ensuring full and fair compensation;
- The need to consider screening of the substation, as part of an overall detail landscape and visual impact assessment of the substation. This is best completed as part of the detailed design, as visualisation of the design options can be used to reduce the overall landscape impacts of the development, as well as reducing visual impact from key viewpoints;
- A site specific ecological / biodiversity survey will be undertaken for the substation site, and a detailed site specific mitigation plan will be developed to reduce any biodiversity related impacts, and manage these during construction; and
The new alternative substation location will be additionally evaluated for any risk in relation to cultural heritage. The whole project would employ a ‘chance find’ procedure to ensure that any find during excavations processes are properly managed.

UNESCO Ohrid-Prespa Transboundary Biosphere Reserve

On the 13th October 2014, UNESCO completed the inauguration of the designation of the Ohrid and Prespa Region as a UNESCO World Network of Biosphere Reserves. It is stated that work to officially designate the area as a UNESCO World Biosphere Reserve commenced in 1996.

Key details on the Reserve includes:

“The landscape of the transboundary biosphere reserve is a balanced combination of water bodies, and surrounding mountains bordered by flat areas on its external boundaries. With an area of 446,244 hectares and a population of about 455,000, it includes part of Lake Ohrid and its surroundings in the Republic of Macedonia, which are inscribed on the World Heritage List, as well as part of Lake Orhid in Albania”.
The designated area includes a ‘Core Area’ (shown in red above) which is the key value and protected area, with surrounding designated ‘buffer zones’ and a ‘transition zone’. The designated overall area includes a part of the OHL routing and the 400:110 kV substation location in the Ohrid and Resen regions. However, the OHL route and substation will pass through the ‘Transition Zone’ rather than the protected ‘core area’.

UNESCO World Heritage Site – Cultural Heritage

The project area includes a UNESCO World Heritage Site Listed Area, focused around Lake Ohrid, but also extending to north of the Lake and within the route of the OHL corridor and the substation location in this region.

There is a UNESCO developed list and mapping of the know Cultural Heritage locations to the north of Lake Ohrid, in the UNESCO listed area.
Figure 10: Cultural Heritage & Archaeological Sites in the UNESCO World Heritage Site area north of Lake Ohrid.

Additional mitigation is therefore proposed, beyond the ‘Chance Find Procedure’ currently described in the ESIA for the project.

Important Bird Area (IBA) Designation – Pelagonia Area

The OHL crosses the Pelagonia IBA near the start of the line route near to the Bitola substation. See the map below:

Figure 11: Approximate route of the OHL corridor starting at Bitola 2 SS, through the Pelagonia IBA (MK024) (OHL is red line – shaded area is the IBA)

The ESIA lists all key species of interest in the Pelagonia IBA and the designations include classification A1: “The site holds species of global conservation concern”. There are a number of species of concern in this area and featured on the IUCN Global Red List, including the European Roller and the Lesser Kestrel. The full list of species is contained in the ESIA.

Some of the bird species in the area, specifically the Lesser Kestrel, are described as being at risk from power lines. Therefore there is an additional set of mitigation arrangements proposed in the updated Environment and Social...
Action Plan, which will include direct involvement from a Bird Specialist (Ornithologist) during the design of the OHL routing in this area, to ensure that the most sensitive areas are avoided. Further general practice design requirements related to siting and clustering of towers and the use of bird diverters on the conductors will also be included alongside the use of ‘bird friendly’ conductors along.

Each tower location will be subject to a detailed on site biodiversity survey as a feature of the mitigation agreed in the original ESIA.

**Proposed National Park Jablanica**

The Jablanica Mountain area remains to be a ‘proposed national park’ and has not yet been designated.

The area of Jablanica Mountain is reported to be an important area for the Balkan Lynx (IUCN Red Listed as ‘Critically Endangered’. The Jablanica Mountain Area is one of only 5 focus locations for protection of the Balkan Lynx in the whole of the Balkans.

The area of the proposed National Park is substantial, and the OHL will pass along the boundary area only, only as far as necessary to avoid the current settlements in this area. The route is relatively close to human population, which will be a key risk reduction factor for the protection of the Lynx in this potentially future designated area.

Figure 12: OHL Route along Periphery of the proposed Jablanica National Park, and photo of this area

8 POTENTIAL SOCIO-ECONOMIC IMPACTS OF THE PROJECT

8.1 LAND USE

In regards to the land requirement for the project, land acquisition will be required for the tower locations, any new access roads required (although use of current access roads will be prioritised wherever possible) and also for the new substation in the Ohrid region. There will also be tower and materials storage / lay down areas required along the route of the corridor, one storage laydown area is anticipated every 3 – 5 km and therefore there will be 20 – 25 temporary materials stores during construction.

The general land footprint for the tower locations is approximately 100 - 150m² although an area 1,000 m² will be required during tower construction. The anticipated size each of the temporary / laydown storage areas is approximately 100m x 50m. The temporary materials laydown / stores sites will be used for a 12 to 18 month period during construction as the line is developed in phases. The substation in the Ohrid region is expected to be around 50,000 m² for the total substation site including safety clearance zone. There is no additional land requirement for the upgrading of the Bitola substation as there is a free transmission line bay already available within the site. Finally,
there will also be the need to designate a number of ‘winch sites’ along the route, which will be used for pulling the conductor lines into place between the towers. These winch sites will be temporary and require a land plot of circa 6,000 m² during temporary construction use.

Currently, it is not envisaged any resettlement will be required as a result of the project as the routing of the line within the project corridor can ensure that any settlement requirement will be avoided. A safety protection zone will be retained, to ensure that the conductor lines and towers are a safe distance from all occupied areas, and will also avoid any potential for nuisance to be caused as a result of impacts such as noise. However, there will not be any other land use restrictions within this safety protection zone, the areas will remain suitable for agricultural or other land uses in the areas along the line route and safety zone.

In order to ensure that all land owners and users are fairly and transparently compensated for any loss of land or land access, a Land Acquisition and Compensation Framework has been developed, which details requirements that will need to be followed in developing and implementing a detailed Land Acquisition and Compensation Plan once the exact route and related land-take requirements are known.

8.2 SOCIAL INVESTMENT

The development of the project in the region would bring additional employment (estimated at 270 new jobs) on a temporary basis during construction, and there would be potential for locally sourced supply contracts for general construction materials such as concrete and aggregates.

9 WHAT WILL BE THE KEY ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS OF THE PROJECT AND HOW WILL THEY BE MITIGATED?

The potential impacts of the project and the key areas of mitigation are presented below:

Table 1: Environmental Impact Summary and Key Mitigation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environmental Resource</th>
<th>Impact Overview</th>
<th>Mitigation measures summary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Climate and Air Quality| Limited potential for impact, other than from dust very local to construction operations and only during construction. | General mitigation measure include techniques such as:  
  - dampening of roads and stockpile areas;  
  - speed control on access roads;  
  - Minimise open excavation areas;  
  - Re-seeding of open earthworks areas;  
  - Maintain construction machinery;  
  - Ban burning of materials on site;  
  - Sheeting of vehicles carrying aggregates and soils;  
  - General observation of dust levels and enhancing mitigation if issues become apparent. |
| Noise and Vibration    | The only source of noise and vibration would be temporary during construction, or the low frequency ‘humming’ from the power lines during operation, this noise |  
  - No occupied buildings will be located within 50 meters of the line route / tower location wherever possible.  
  - General good practice mitigation measures will be implemented including:  
  - General measures for reduction of equipment noise (eg silences on pneumatic tools / acoustic enclosures) |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environmental Resource</th>
<th>Impact Overview</th>
<th>Mitigation measures summary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>source should not have potential for impact beyond a distance of 20 metres.</td>
<td>on compressors etc)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electric Magnetic Fields</td>
<td>Key mitigation is that a corridor of a minimum 50 – 60 metres wide would be maintained along the whole route, and no people would be permanently located in this area (ie no occupied buildings in this corridor). However, there would not be any required restrictions on presence of people in the area below the line, for example to undertake normal agricultural activities. At this level, published information shows that the impact of EMF is insignificant.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrology: Surface Water and Groundwater</td>
<td>There is potential for impact to water courses as a result of construction activities, mainly related to land disturbance. General mitigation includes:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Towers will be located a minimum of 10m from any surface water courses.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avoidance of wetland areas where possible.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avoid wet weather work where possible.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avoid any construction discharges.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spill equipment, bunding, leak control and clean up.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No working in water courses or equipment water course crossings.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provision and servicing of portable toilets.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wastewater Management</td>
<td>The only impact areas are general water run off during construction (see above) and then domestic wastewater discharges.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portable toilets with a proper service contract will be used.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The substation will include proper sanitary facilities.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geology and Land</td>
<td>No key impact area will be present, though controls need to be in place when working on slopes. Key mitigation areas include the general areas described for hydrology / sedimentation and erosion control. Additional measures defined include avoidance where possible of cutting access roads on steeper slopes. Where unavoidable, ensuring slope stability is maintained.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecosystems and Flora &amp; Fauna</td>
<td>Use of land within the project area has the potential to cause some localised impact on</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Key mitigation includes:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commitment to undertake location specific ecology surveys for all key project locations, including towers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
biodiversity. The use of overhead lines could be an additional source of bird collision or electrocution. However, careful mitigation will substantially reduce this risk level.

- Bird friendly ‘hanging conductors’ to be employed on the project tower design.
- Bird diverters to be installed in locations where bird risks are identified, to be determined following detailed design.
- General habitat protection measures, such as using existing access roads where possible, avoiding any fires, banning hunting and collection of any plants, animals or birds eggs (etc), management of smoking risks and site restoration.

For designated habitats areas or where protected species present:

- Ensuring a qualified ‘watching brief’ is present for construction in any sensitive areas.
- No construction camps or machinery parks in any sensitive areas.
- No storage of wastes.
- Careful storage of excavated soils or overburden.
- Restoration of ground cover with autochthonous species were possible.
- Some commitment to compensation for forestry losses by re-planting – with a commitment to prepare a detailed plan for this in cooperation with ‘Makedonski Sumi’ (State owned forestry company).
- Cutting of certain species avoided by possible, specifically the Pedunculate Oak.
- Avoiding any construction or clearance during the bird breeding season, apart from where a preconstruction survey had determined that no nesting birds are present.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environmental Resource</th>
<th>Impact Overview</th>
<th>Mitigation measures summary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Geohazards / Seismic</td>
<td>The general project area is seismically active.</td>
<td>The engineering detailed design will take account of the Seismic risks in the area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste Management</td>
<td>Waste materials will be generated during construction, mainly excavation waste. Very low levels of waste will be generated during operation.</td>
<td>For construction, key mitigation commitment is to ensure the reuse or recycling of excavation materials where possible, in particular for top soils etc. For operations, the emphasis will be on ensuring special disposal for any hazardous wastes (oils etc).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultural Resources</td>
<td>The impact on cultural resources is expected to be minimal, though precautionary mitigation is required.</td>
<td>The OHL route will be designed to avoid any culturally significant object such as churches, mosques and other important sites. Mitigation measures are identified to support archaeological works and excavations in case of a chance find and consult the appropriate authority to support.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
10 HOW WILL THE PROJECT ENSURE EFFECTIVE MANAGEMENT AND MONITORING OF IMPACTS?

MEPSO has produced an Environmental and Social Management and Monitoring Plan (ESMMP) for the project, which contains all of the main mitigation areas defined above. This will be maintained as a live document. Further, the detailed design and the construction contractors will be required to fully implement the requirements of the ESIA, the ESMMP and the ESAP, and audits will be undertaken to ensure that these requirements are fully implemented.

11 STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT PLAN (SEP)

A Stakeholder Engagement Plan (SEP) has been developed with the objective of identifying key stakeholders and ensuring that, where relevant, they are informed in a timely manner of the potential impacts of projects. The SEP also identifies a formal grievance mechanism to be used by stakeholders (internal and external) for dealing with complaints, concerns, queries and comments. It will be reviewed and updated on a regular basis. If activities change or new activities relating to stakeholder engagement commence, the SEP will be brought up to date. It will also be reviewed periodically during project implementation and updated as necessary. The SEP includes the following:

- Public consultations and information disclosure requirements;
- Identification of stakeholders and other affected parties;
- Overview of previous engagement activities;
- Stakeholder engagement programme including methods of engagement and resources; and
- Grievance mechanism.
Stakeholders could be individuals and organisations that may be directly or indirectly affected by the project either in a positive or negative way, who wish to express their views.

A Land Acquisition and Compensation Framework has also been developed for use by MEPSO for the project. This document sets out the principles for full consideration of all interested parties in relation to the acquisition, lease and use of land, and includes a framework for the full assessment of these interests and the integration of required controls, potentially including compensatory measures if needed.

12 FURTHER INFORMATION

Contact information for this project is provided below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MEPSO Skopje Head Office</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AD MEPSO, Skopje</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vesna Drejovska</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Str . Maksim Gorki No. 4 , 1000 Skopje ;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tel: 02 3149600 Fax : 02 3111 160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>email: <a href="mailto:vesna.drejovska@mepso.com.mk">vesna.drejovska@mepso.com.mk</a> Website:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Copies of the Environmental and Social Impact Assessment will be publicly available at the above addresses and are available on the internet at the web address above.